

# Venezuela and Bolivia: Challenging Liberal Democracy?

Mathilde Henry

-

Thesis supervisor

Ziga Vodovnik

-

EIUC

2010-2011

-

University of Social Sciences of Ljubljana.

## Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Ziga Vodovnik, who strongly supported me throughout this project with his advices. He has all my recognition.

I would also like to thank

The EIUC, for feeding my passion for democracy questions,

Bojko Bucar, for his support and attention,

And Director Fabrizzio Marrella, who gave a stimulating and positive spirit to the studying year 2010-2011.

To my family, for supporting me in this great last adventure.

# Contents

Introduction- p6

Part I: The State of Democracy in Bolivia and Venezuela: Democratic Failure, Re-Interpretation of Democracy, or Optical Illusion? –p11

- I. Why is Democracy questioned? -p11
  - A. The modern definition of democracy
  - B. Completed democratization?
    - a. The consolidation of democracy
    - b. The formation of the citizens to politics
  - C. Objective reasons
    - a. Character incomplete of the rule of law: a comparison of the most important components
    - b. Some specific examples of violations of the rule of law and principles of democracy particular to each country
  - D. Subjective reasons: Why is the decrease of the quality of democracy exaggerated?
    - a. Social background identification as a factor in exaggerating the decrease of the quality of democracy
    - b. Exaggerations due to international relations concerns
- II. The quality of democracy – liberal democracy disregarded as the first priority? –p30
  - A. Liberalism delegitimized
    - a. The deception toward democracy
    - b. The exploitation of the tools of democratization
    - c. Economically and thus also politically

- B. Are the two democracies really more ‘illiberal’ than before?
  - a. What is an illiberal democracy?
  - b. Designed illiberal democracies?

Conclusion Part 1 –p41

Part II: Left-wing Reforms or Democratic Revolution? The Ideologies and Societies at the Center of the Re-Interpretation of Democracy in Venezuela and Bolivia –p42

- I. Can Liberal Democracy be questioned theoretically? –p42
  - A. The actual existence of different visions of democracy: “Constitutional government” to “Liberal democracy”
  - B. Broken liberal democracy: The reason for the revolutions?
    - a. Revolutions explained from a theoretical point of view
    - b. An application to our cases
    - c. Including the new interpretation of liberalism within the revolutions’ explanation
  - C. The risks of the democratic revolution
- II. Revolutions? –p54
  - A. Two socialisms engaging deep reforms
    - a. Revolutions of socialist ideologies?
      - 1. Bolivia, “Estaremos millones”
      - 2. Venezuela, “socialismo o muerte”?
    - b. Revolution as liberation?
      - 1. Bolivia
      - 2. Venezuela
    - c. Reforms rather than revolutions
      - 1. Bolivia
      - 2. Venezuela
    - d. Anti-systemic changes?
  - B. Redefining democracy toward the inclusion of the people: same path?
    - a. Bolivia, bottom-up system.

- b. Venezuela, a top-down democratization?
- C. Causes and consequences of the functioning of the participatory democracy
  - a. A movement inspired by the communitarianism in Bolivia?
  - b. The community factor as a precondition for participatory democracy?
- D. Qualifying the respective conclusions
  - a. The possibility of reversing effect of the movementist trend in Bolivia
  - b. The chance of bottom-up change in Venezuela still exists

CONCLUSION -p83

2011

# Venezuela and Bolivia: challenging liberal democracy?

Henry, Mathilde

---

<https://doi.org/20.500.11825/912>

*Downloaded from Open Knowledge Repository, Global Campus' institutional repository*